
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

April 21, 2016

Permittees of the Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group¹
(See Distribution List)

APPROVAL OF THE DOMINGUEZ CHANNEL WATERSHED MANAGEMENT AREA GROUP'S ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (EWMP), PURSUANT TO PART VI.C OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM (MS4) PERMIT (NPDES PERMIT NO. CAS004001; ORDER NO. R4-2012-0175 AS AMENDED BY STATE WATER BOARD ORDER WQ 2015-0075)

Dear Permittees of the Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group:

On November 8, 2012, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Los Angeles Water Board or Board) adopted Order No. R4-2012-0175, *Waste Discharge Requirements for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Discharges within the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles County, except those Discharges Originating from the City of Long Beach* (hereafter, LA County MS4 Permit). Part VI.C of the LA County MS4 Permit allows Permittees the option to develop either a Watershed Management Program (WMP) or an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) to implement permit requirements on a watershed scale through customized strategies, control measures, and best management practices (BMPs). Development of a WMP or EWMP is voluntary and allows a Permittee to address the highest watershed priorities, including complying with the requirements of Part V.A (Receiving Water Limitations), Part VI.E and Attachments L through R (Total Maximum Daily Load Provisions), and by customizing the control measures in Parts III.A (Prohibitions – Non-Storm Water Discharges) and VI.D (Minimum Control Measures), except the Planning and Land Development Program. Pursuant to Part VI.C.4.c.iv of the LA County MS4 Permit, the Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group (Group) submitted a draft EWMP on June 25, 2015, to the Los Angeles Water Board for review.

Additionally, following the submittal of the Group's draft EWMP, the cities of Carson and Lawndale joined the Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group. Since these cities were not included in the June 25, 2015 draft EWMP, the cities of Carson and Lawndale submitted addenda to the Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group draft

¹ Permittees of the Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group include the Los Angeles County Flood Control District; the County of Los Angeles; and the cities of Carson, El Segundo, Hawthorne, Inglewood, Lawndale, Lomita, and Los Angeles.

EWMP (EWMP Addenda) that includes Reasonable Assurance Analysis sections, watershed control measure information, and other required analyses for their jurisdictional areas. The EWMP Addenda were submitted on December 11, 2015 (City of Lawndale) and December 16, 2015 (City of Carson).

Public Review and Comment

On July 1, 2015, the Los Angeles Water Board provided public notice and a 61-day period to allow for public review and written comment on the draft EWMPs. A separate notice of availability regarding the draft EWMPs was directed to State Senators and Assembly Members within the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles County. The Board received four written comment letters in total. The comment letter submitted by the Construction Industry Coalition on Water Quality (CICWQ) had comments on the twelve EWMPs generally. The comment letter submitted jointly by the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), Heal the Bay, and Los Angeles Waterkeeper (Environmental Groups) contained specific comments on four of the twelve EWMPs²; no comments specific to the Dominguez Channel EWMP were raised. The two remaining letters, from the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts and Ms. Joyce Dillard, contained specific comments on various EWMPs. Ms. Dillard's letter included comments specific to the Dominguez Channel EWMP.

On December 22, 2015, the Los Angeles Water Board provided public notice and a 34-day period to allow for public review and written comment on the EWMP Addenda. No comments were received during this public review and comment period.

On July 9, 2015, the Board held a public workshop at its regularly scheduled Board meeting on the draft EWMPs. On November 5, 2015, again during its regularly scheduled Board meeting, the Board held a second public workshop on the draft EWMPs. The Board held a third public workshop on March 3, 2016 for Permittees and interested persons to comment on and discuss the revised EWMPs with the Executive Officer, Board members, and staff. During our initial review of the draft EWMP and our review of the revised EWMP, the Los Angeles Water Board considered written comments and comments made at these workshops that were applicable to the Group's EWMP.

Los Angeles Water Board Review

Concurrent with the public review, the Los Angeles Water Board reviewed the draft EWMP. As part of the review process, Los Angeles Water Board staff had a meeting on October 15, 2015 and telephone exchanges with the Group's representatives and consultants to discuss the Board staff's questions, tentative comments, and potential revisions to the draft EWMP. On October 23, 2015, the Los Angeles Water Board sent a letter to the Group detailing the Board's comments on the draft EWMP and identifying the revisions that needed to be addressed prior to

² These four EWMPs were the North Santa Monica Bay EWMP, Upper San Gabriel River EWMP, Upper Los Angeles River EWMP, and Beach Cities EWMP.

the Board's approval of the EWMP. Where appropriate, the public's comments were incorporated into the Board's review letter on the draft EWMP to ensure that the public's comments were addressed appropriately in the revised EWMP. Additionally, on February 5, 2016, the Los Angeles Water Board sent a letter to the Group detailing the Board's comments on the EWMP Addenda and identifying additional revisions that needed to be addressed prior to the Board's approval of the EWMP.

On February 26, 2016, the Group submitted a revised EWMP for Los Angeles Water Board review and approval.

Approval of EWMP

The Los Angeles Water Board hereby approves the Group's EWMP as submitted on February 26, 2016.

Determination of Compliance with EWMP

Pursuant to Part VI.C.6 of the LA County MS4 Permit, the Permittees of the Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group shall begin implementation of the approved EWMP immediately. To continue to be afforded the opportunity to implement permit provisions within the framework of the EWMP, Permittees must fully and timely implement all actions per associated schedules set forth in the approved EWMP regardless of any contingencies indicated in the approved EWMP (e.g., funding) unless a modification to the approved EWMP, including any extension of deadlines where allowed, is approved by the Los Angeles Water Board pursuant to Part VI.C.6.a or Part VI.C.8.a.ii-iii of the LA County MS4 Permit. The Los Angeles Water Board will determine the Permittees' compliance with the EWMP on the basis of the compliance actions and milestones included in the EWMP including, but not limited to, the following:

- Table 4.2: DC WMA Members to Implement Additional MCMs associated with 2012 MS4 Permit (beyond those in the 2001 MS4 Permit)
- Table 4.3: Additional Institutional BMP Implementation Timeline per DC WMA Jurisdiction for Areas in the Machado Lake Watershed Only
- Table 4.4: Additional Institutional BMP Implementation Timeline for non-Machado Lake Areas per DC WMA Jurisdiction
- Section 5: EWMP Implementation Plan
- Table 5.1: Regional Project Timeline
- Figure 5-1: Control Measure Capacities to be Implemented by the DC WMA by 2040
- Table 5.2: Dominguez Channel Watershed – Summary of volume managed and BMP capacity by jurisdiction for final compliance
- Attachment Z: Addendum to EWMP: Incorporation of City of Carson
- Attachment AA: Addendum to EWMP: Incorporation of City of Lawndale
- Attachment AB: BMP Capacities by Milestone

Pursuant to Parts VI.C.3 and VI.E.2.d.i.(4).(a) of the LA County MS4 Permit, the Permittees' full and timely compliance with all actions and dates for their achievement in their approved EWMP shall constitute compliance with permit provisions pertaining to applicable water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs)/wasteload allocations (WLAs) in Part VI.E and Attachments N and O of the LA County MS4 Permit. Further, per Part VI.C.2.b of the LA County MS4 Permit, the Permittees' full compliance with all requirements and dates for their achievement in its approved EWMP constitutes compliance with the receiving water limitations provisions of Part V.A of the LA County MS4 Permit for the specific waterbody-pollutant combinations addressed by the approved EWMP.

If the Permittees fail to meet any requirement or date for its achievement in the approved EWMP, which will be demonstrated through the Group's Annual Reports and program audits (when conducted), the Permittees shall be subject to the baseline requirements of the LA County MS4 Permit, including but not limited to demonstrating compliance with applicable receiving water limitations and TMDL-based WQBELs/WLAs through outfall and receiving water monitoring. See Parts VI.C.2.c and VI.E.2.d.i.(4).(c) of the LA County MS4 Permit.

Annual Reporting

The Permittees shall report, as a group, on achievement of actions and milestones within the reporting year, as well as progress towards future milestones related to multi-year projects, through their Annual Report per Attachment E, Part XVIII of the LA County MS4 Permit. For multi-year efforts, the Permittees shall include the status of the project, which includes the status with regard to standard project implementation steps. These steps include, but are not limited to, adopted or potential future changes to municipal ordinances to implement the project, site selection, environmental review and permitting, project design, acquisition of grant or loan funding and/or municipal approval of project funding, contractor selection, construction schedule, start-up, and effectiveness evaluation (once operational), where applicable. For green streets implementation, Permittees shall report on progress toward a structured approach to identifying a sufficient number of green street projects to meet compliance milestones (e.g., a green streets master plan). For all stormwater retention projects, including LID BMPs implemented in compliance with new/redevelopment provisions, green streets provisions, and regional BMPs, the Permittees shall report annually on the volume of stormwater retained in each subwatershed area.

The Permittees shall also include in their Annual Report the source(s) of funds used during the reporting year, and those funds proposed for the coming year, to meet necessary expenditures related to implementation of the actions identified in their EWMP per Part VI.A.3 of the LA County MS4 Permit. Further, as part of the annual certification concerning a permittee's legal authority required by Part VI.A.2.b of the LA County MS4 Permit, each Permittee shall also certify in the Annual Report that they have the necessary legal authority to implement each of the actions and milestones in the approved EWMP as required by Part VI.C.5.b.iv.(6). If a Permittee does not have legal authority to implement an action or milestone at the time the

Group submits their Annual Report, the Permittee(s) shall propose a schedule to establish and maintain such legal authority.

Adaptive Management

The Permittees, as a group, shall conduct a comprehensive evaluation of their EWMP no later than two years after the date of this approval (i.e., by April 23, 2018), and subsequently, every two years thereafter pursuant to the adaptive management process set forth in Part VI.C.8 of the LA County MS4 Permit. As part of this process, the Permittees must evaluate progress toward achieving:

- Applicable WQBELs/WLAs in Attachments N and O of the LA County MS4 Permit according to the milestones set forth in their EWMP;
- Improved water quality in MS4 discharges and receiving waters;
- Stormwater retention milestones; and
- Multi-year efforts that were not completed in the current year and will continue into the subsequent year(s), among other requirements.

Per Part VI.C.8.a.iv, Permittees shall also report the following information to the Los Angeles Water Board as part of the reporting for the adaptive management process:

- On-the-ground structural control measures completed;
- Non-structural control measures completed;
- Monitoring data that evaluates the effectiveness of implemented control measures in improving water quality;
- Comparison of the effectiveness of the control measures to the results projected by the RAA;
- Comparison of control measures completed to date with control measures projected to be completed to date pursuant to the Watershed Management Program or EWMP;
- Control measures proposed to be completed in the next two years pursuant to the Watershed Management Program or EWMP and the schedule for completion of those control measures; and
- Status of funding and implementation for control measures proposed to be completed in the next two years.

Finally, as part of the adaptive management process, the Permittees shall re-evaluate their Category 2 and Category 3 water quality priorities based on data collected through their Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) for the Permittees' discharges. Where new water quality priorities are identified, the Permittees shall conduct a RAA for the pollutants and identify and incorporate into their EWMP appropriate watershed control measures to address them.

The Permittees' evaluation of the above shall be based on both progress implementing actions in the EWMP and an evaluation of outfall-based monitoring data and receiving water monitoring

data. Per Attachment E, Part XVIII.6 of the LA County MS4 Permit, the Permittees shall implement adaptive management strategies, including but not limited to:

- Refinement and recalibration of the Reasonable Assurance Analysis (RAA) based on data specific to the Group's EWMP area that are collected through the Group's CIMP and other data, as appropriate;
- Identifying the most effective control measures, why they are the most effective, and how other control measures can be optimized based on this understanding;
- Identify the least effective control measures, why they are ineffective, and how the control measures can be modified or replaced to be more effective;
- Identify significant changes to control measures during the prior year(s) and the rationale for the changes; and
- Describe all significant changes to control measures anticipated to be made in the next year(s) and the rationale for each change.

As part of the adaptive management process, any modifications to the EWMP, including any requests for extension of deadlines not associated with TMDL provisions, must be submitted to the Los Angeles Water Board for review and approval. The Permittees must implement any modifications to the EWMP upon approval by the Los Angeles Water Board or its Executive Officer, or within 60 days of submittal of modifications if the Los Angeles Water Board or its Executive Officer expresses no objections. Note that while the first adaptive management process is scheduled for April 23, 2018, the Group's Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) is due no later than July 1, 2017. The Group should conduct a preliminary evaluation of its EWMP in the spring of 2017 and present the results of the evaluation and any proposed modifications to the EWMP in the Group's ROWD.

The Los Angeles Water Board appreciates the participation and cooperation of the Permittees in the Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group in the implementation of the LA County MS4 Permit. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Chris Lopez of the Storm Water Permitting Unit at Chris.Lopez@waterboards.ca.gov or by phone at (213) 576-6674. Alternatively, you may also contact Mr. Ivar Ridgeway, Chief of the Storm Water Permitting Unit, at Ivar.Ridgeway@waterboards.ca.gov or by phone at (213) 620-2150.

Sincerely,



Samuel Unger, P.E.
Executive Officer

Enclosures: Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group Distribution List

Dominguez Channel Watershed Management Area Group Distribution List

Name	City/Agency	Email Address
Alfredo Magallanes	City of Los Angeles	alfredo.magallanes@lacity.org
Shahram Kharaghani	City of Los Angeles	shahram.kharaghani@lacity.org
Maria Slaughter	City of Carson	mslaught@carson.ca.us
Ken Farfsing	City of Carson	kfarfsing@carson.ca.us
Stephanie Katsouleas	City of El Segundo	skatsouleas@elsegundo.org
LiFan Xu	City of El Segundo	lxu@elsegundo.org
Doug Krauss	City of Hawthorne	dkrauss@cityofhawthorne.org
Arnold Shadbehr	City of Hawthorne	ashadbehr@cityofhawthorne.org
Louis A. Atwell	City of Inglewood	lamimoto@cityofinglewood.org
Frank Senteno	City of Lawndale	fsenteno@lawndalecity.org
Steve Mandoki	City of Lawndale	SMANDOKI@LAWNDALECITY.ORG
Tom Shahbazi	City of Lomita	t.shahbazi@lomitacity.com
Gail Farber	County of Los Angeles DPW / LACFCD	gfarber@dpw.lacounty.gov
Angela George	County of Los Angeles DPW / LACFCD	ageorge@dpw.lacounty.gov
Gary Hildebrand	County of Los Angeles DPW / LACFCD	ghildeb@dpw.lacounty.gov